

Wolverhampton City Council

OPEN DECISION ITEM

Committee/ Panel	STANDARDS COMMITTEE	Date: 19 NOVEMBER 2009
Originating Service Group(s)	CUSTOMER AND SHARED SERVICES	
Contact Officer(s)/	S KEMBREY/F DAVIS	
Telephone Number(s)	4910/4932	
Title/Subject Matter	<u>MONITORING OFFICER'S REPORT</u>	

1.0 **Recommendation**

- 1.1 Standards Committee is requested to note the contents of this report and indicate any areas in respect of which further information is required.

MONITORING OFFICER'S REPORT

1. Background

- 1.1 The joint meeting of the Audit and Standards Committee held on 16 April 2007 agreed that the Standards Committee receive regular reports from the Monitoring Officer. This is the third such report for 2009/2010.

2. Local Assessment – Wolverhampton City Council's Quarterly Return

- 2.1 Under the local assessment arrangements authorities are required to submit quarterly data returns to the Standards Board for England on complaints received. The last collection period for the submission of quarterly statistical data to the Standards Board for England was for the period 1 July to 30 September 2009. No complaints alleging that members have failed to comply with the members Code of Conduct have been received in this period and the Monitoring Officer has submitted a nil return to the Standards Board for England.

3. SBE Publications

- 3.1 The following publications have been recently issued by the Standards Board for England:-

Bulletin number 45;

Online guides:- Gifts and Hospitality;
Disclosing Confidential Information;
Lobbying;
Personal and Prejudicial Interests; and

Copies of the online Guides and Bulletin 45 are appended to this report.

The SBE Corporate Plan 2009/2012 "The Changing role of the Standards Board for England" has also been published. All documents are available on the Standards Board for England Website.

4. Standards Board Annual Assembly

- 4.1 The Authority was again represented at the Standards Board for England Annual Assembly which was held at the ICC in Birmingham on 12 and 13 October 2009. It was attended by Mr Parker, Independent Chair of the Standards Committee and the Monitoring Officer.
- 4.2 Event material is now available to download from the SBE Website and a verbal presentation is also included on the agenda for today's meeting.

5. Legal Implications

- 5.1 This report details work undertaken to comply with the ethical framework relating to Local Government under Part III of the Local Government Act 2000.

6. **Financial Implications**

- 6.1 The Council is required to provide the Monitoring Officer with sufficient resources to undertake her responsibilities. Provision is made within the revenue budget.

7. **Equalities Implications**

- 7.1 The Members Code of Conduct contains specific obligations relating to equalities.

8. **Environmental Implications**

- 8.1 There are no direct environmental implications arising from this report.

Gifts and hospitality

Gifts, hospitality and the Code of Conduct: Gifts and hospitality are covered by paragraphs 8 and 13 of the Code.

What do I have to do?

- You must register any gifts or hospitality worth £25 or over.
- You must also register the donor (for example, the person, company or body) of the gift or hospitality.
- You only have to register gifts that you receive in connection with your official duties as a member. You do not have to register other gifts and hospitality, such as birthday gifts from family.
- Ask yourself "Have I been given this because I am a member?" If the answer is "yes" then you must register the item.
- You should register an accumulation of small gifts you receive from the same donor over a short period that add up to £25 or more.
- You must register the gift or hospitality and its donor within 28 days of receiving it, and if an accumulation, when it gets to £25.

What are the implications?

- You will have a **personal interest** in a matter if it relates to, or is likely to affect, the donor of the gift or hospitality that is registered.
- You must declare the existence and nature of the gift or hospitality, the donor and how the business under consideration relates to that donor. You must then decide whether that interest is also a prejudicial interest.
- If more than three years have passed since you registered the gift or hospitality, you will no longer have to declare a personal interest in a matter that relates to or is likely to affect the donor.

For further information on interests see our factsheet on personal and prejudicial interests.

What happens if I do not know the value of the gift?

- We suggest you register it anyway as a matter of good practice.

Do I have to register gifts or hospitality I do not accept?

- No, but you may wish to do so as a matter of good practice.

What does “hospitality” mean under the Code?

- Hospitality can be defined as any food, drink, accommodation or entertainment provided free of charge or heavily discounted.

Do I have to register the interests of the donor of the gifts or hospitality?

- No. We believe the Code only requires you to register the gift or hospitality worth £25 or over, received in connection with your official duties, and the donor of that gift or hospitality.

Do I have to register gifts or hospitality from council-owned companies?

- Yes. Wholly-owned companies are separate bodies from the authority.

What about official gifts or hospitality given to the civic mayor or chair of a council?

- There are no special rules for those who serve as mayor or chair of an authority.
- Gifts that are clearly made to the authority do not need to be registered.
- Gifts made directly to a mayor or chair’s charity appeal also do not need to be registered.
- We take the view that there is no requirement under the Code to register hospitality, if that hospitality has been extended to the office holder for the time being rather than the individual.

Find out more

- The Code of Conduct: Guide for members May 2007 offers more guidance on the Code and can be downloaded [here](#).
- Call our enquiries line on **0845 078 8181**
- Email us at enquiries@standardsforengland.gov.uk

Published on 15 October 2009.

Disclosing Confidential Information

Confidential information and the Code of Conduct: Confidential information is covered by paragraph 4(a) of the Code

Paragraph 4(a) of the Code says you must not disclose information given to you in confidence by anyone. You must also not disclose information which you believe, or ought reasonably to be aware, is of a confidential nature – except where certain exceptions apply.

What is ‘confidential information’?

Information is a broad term. It includes facts, advice and opinions. It also covers written materials, including tapes, videos, CDs, DVDs and other electronic media.

Information is confidential:

- if it is about something serious and not trivial
- if the nature of the information is sensitive or personal, for example it is a business secret
- if it is information that you would expect people would want to be private
- if it was divulged in a way which implied it should be kept confidential
- if disclosing the information would be detrimental to the person who wishes to keep it confidential

If the council, the executive or a committee of the council has voted to treat the information as exempt, then you should maintain it as confidential.

When can confidential information be disclosed?

You are able to disclose confidential information when:

- the person authorised to give it has given you the consent to disclose it
- you are required by law to do so
- the disclosure is made to a third party in order to obtain professional advice, for example a lawyer
- the disclosure is in the public interest.

Justification for disclosure in the public interest

Disclosing confidential information in the public interest can only be justified when **all** of the following points are met:

- **the disclosure must be reasonable** – this is a matter of judgment. However, when making this decision, you

should consider carefully why you want to disclose the information, whether it is true, how serious the issue is and who to tell

- **the disclosure must be in the public interest** – information is in the public interest if:
 - a criminal offence is committed
 - the authority fails to comply with its legal obligations
 - a miscarriage of justice occurs
 - the health and safety of an individual is in danger
 - the environment is likely to be damaged
 - information about any of the issues above is deliberately concealed
- **the disclosure must be made in good faith** – the disclosure will not be justified if it is being made to promote your interests or is for political gain
- **the disclosure must be made in compliance with any reasonable requirements of your authority** – you must first raise your concerns through the appropriate channels set out in your authority's policies and procedures. For example, policies on whistle-blowing or member-officer relationships should be followed before making a disclosure.


When would a public interest disclosure not be justified?

If the disclosure would amount to a criminal offence or when information is protected by legal professional privilege, it is unlikely that its release could be justified as being in the public interest.

Find out more

- Please read our Code of Conduct: Guidance for members 2007
- Call our enquiries line on 0845 078 8181
- Email us at enquiries@standardsforengland.gov.uk

Published on 8 October 2009.

 Print this page

Lobbying

Lobbying is covered by paragraphs 5, 6(a), and 8-12 of the Code of Conduct.

What is lobbying?

Lobbying and campaigning is the practice of influencing decisions made by government at a national or local level. Many local councillors are involved in a number of groups or campaigns either as a member of a particular interest group or as an individual.

What should I do?

1) Register your interest

Membership of lobby or campaign groups should be included on your register of interests, as these are bodies "whose principal purposes include the influence of public opinion or policy".

Even if your lobby group does not keep a formal membership list, the Code of Conduct still applies to you. If you are acting as a member of the group – perhaps attending meetings or participating in group activities – you should still register your membership of the group and declare interests, where appropriate.

2) Declare your interest

If you are a member of a group that lobbies or campaigns about an issue that comes up for discussion or decision at your authority, you are required to declare a personal interest.

You should declare the existence and nature of your interest at the meeting so that members of the public are informed about interests that may relate to your decisions.

You can continue to participate unless the interest is also prejudicial.

You will not normally have a personal interest in the related discussion or decision of your authority if you merely campaigned on an issue as an individual (perhaps during an election campaign) but are not a member of or in a position of general control or management of a lobby group campaigning on the same issue.

3) Consider whether to withdraw from the meeting

Under the Code of Conduct, you only have to withdraw from a meeting where your personal interest is also prejudicial.

You cannot have a prejudicial interest in a matter if:

- The matter falls within one of the exempt categories of decisions under paragraph 10(2) (c). A full list of exempt categories can be found in our publication Code of Conduct: Guidance for members 2007 .
- The matter does not affect your financial interests or does not relate to a licensing or regulatory matter brought by you or a person or body in which you have a personal interest.

For example, you will not have a prejudicial interest in a developer's planning proposal which you and any groups you are a member of have campaigned against, if you, any person, or any body you have a personal interest in is not financially affected by the proposal. However, you may need to ask your monitoring officer about the common

law principles of bias and pre-determination if you are in this situation.

If your personal interest in a matter falls outside the exempt categories mentioned above, and does affect your financial or regulatory interests, you will then have to consider the following general test for prejudicial interests:

- Would a member of the public, who knows the relevant facts, reasonably think your personal interest is so significant that it is likely to prejudice your judgement of the public interest?


If the answer is 'yes' then you would have a prejudicial interest.

If a prejudicial interest arose, you would still be allowed to address the meeting on the issue in order to answer questions or make representations, provided that the same right was available to members of the public.

Find out more

- Please read our Code of Conduct: Guidance for members 2007
- Call our enquiries line on 0845 078 8181
- Email us at enquiries@standardsforengland.gov.uk

Published on 28 October 2009.

 Print this page

Personal and prejudicial interests

Personal and prejudicial interests are covered by paragraphs 8-13 of the Code of Conduct.

What is a personal interest?

You have a personal interest in any business of your authority where it relates to or is likely to affect:

- 1) An interest that you must register.
- 2) An interest that is not on your register but where the well-being or financial position of you, members of your family, or people or bodies with whom you have a close association, is likely to be affected by the business of your authority more than it would affect the majority of:
 - inhabitants of the ward or electoral divisions affected by the decision (in the case of authorities with wards or electoral divisions)
 - inhabitants of the assembly constituency affected by the decision (in the case of the Greater London Authority)
 - inhabitants of the authority's area (in all other cases).

What should I do if I have a personal interest?

You must declare that you have a personal interest and the nature of the interest as soon as it becomes apparent to you in all formal meetings before the matter is discussed.

However, where an interest arises solely from membership of, position of control or management on:

- any other body to which you were appointed or nominated by the authority
- any other body exercising functions of a public nature, for example if you have been appointed as a school governor
- you will only need to declare your interest if and when you speak on a matter, provided that you do not have a prejudicial interest.

What is a prejudicial interest?

Your personal interest will also be a prejudicial interest if it meets all of the following conditions:

- a) The matter does not fall within one of the exempt categories of decisions under paragraph 10(2) (c), for example setting the council tax.
- b) The matter affects your interests financially or is about a licensing, planning or other regulatory matter that might affect your interests.
- c) A member of the public, who knows the relevant facts, would reasonably think your personal interest so significant that it is likely to prejudice your judgement of the public interest.

What should I do if I have a prejudicial interest?

You must declare that you have a prejudicial interest and the nature of that interest as soon as that interest becomes apparent.

You should leave the room unless members of the public are allowed to make representations, give evidence or answer questions about the matter. If this is the case, you can also attend the meeting for that purpose.

You must leave the room immediately once you have finished speaking, or when the meeting decides that you have finished (if that is earlier).


If your authority does not provide members of the public with any right to speak, you would need to leave the meeting room after declaring the nature and extent of your interest. However, you can:

- Make written representations in your private capacity. These should be addressed to officers rather than members of the authority.
- Use a professional representative to make an application, for example a planning application, on your behalf.
- Arrange for another member of the authority to represent the views of your constituents.

Find out more

- Please read our Code of Conduct: Guidance for members 2007
- Call our enquiries line on 0845 078 8181
- Email us at enquiries@standardsforengland.gov.uk

Published on 27 October 2009.

 Print this page

Code revision

We reported on Communities and Local Government's consultation on proposals for a revised code for members and the introduction of a national code for officers in [issue 41](#) of the *Bulletin*.

Many of you have been in touch to find out when you can expect the new code for members. The department for Communities and Local Government is responsible for dealing with the revisions and current advice is that a revised code will be ready in late autumn 2009.

We do not anticipate many changes to the Code this time around. The main change will be to allow the Code to cover members in their non-official capacity, where that conduct would be a criminal offence.

We have been informed that further consultation on the introduction of a code for officers is likely to take place in 2010.

Imposing sanctions: Written apologies

Regulation 19 of the [Standards Committee \(England\) Regulations 2008](#) lists the 11 sanctions available to a standards committee. Standards committees must be careful that any sanctions they choose are included in this list. For example, a verbal apology is not listed and would not therefore be a valid sanction. Asking a member to submit a written apology in a form specified by the committee is valid.

The written apology sanction is a difficult sanction to enforce if a member chooses not to comply with it. Standards committees should consider this when deciding on which sanction to impose.

If a standards committee decides that a written apology is appropriate it should:

- specify the form in which the apology should be written
- set a time-limit for the apology to be written.

If a member fails to issue the written apology, the member may face a further complaint of potentially bringing their office or authority into disrepute by failing to comply with the sanction. However, it could be argued that it would be a better use of council resources to ensure the original sanction allows for the possibility that the apology is not given.

The regulations allow for the suspension of a member for a period not exceeding six months or until such time as the member submits a written apology in a form specified

by the standards committee. In this way a standards committee can ensure that if a member does not apologise, they will remain suspended for a period of up to six months or until they do.

Care should be taken when deciding on the period of suspension that would apply if no apology is given. It should properly reflect the seriousness of the breach of the code of conduct. Imposing a six month suspension period to encourage an apology to be given would be a misuse of the power.

Standards committees should carefully consider the appropriateness of imposing a written apology when a member has shown no remorse for their conduct and no evidence at the hearing to indicate they are able to acknowledge their behaviour and its impact on others. Any apology issued in such circumstances is unlikely to be seen as being genuine.

For more information on sanctions please see our [Standards Committee Determinations guidance](#).

Intimidation and the Code

On July 23 2009, the President of the Adjudication Panel for England made a significant decision in the case of Councillor Buchanan, an ex-councillor of Somerset County Council.

This is an important judgment as it is the first occasion in which the Adjudication Panel had to deal with a potential breach of paragraph 3(2)(c) of the Code of Conduct. Paragraph 3(2)(c) concerns the intimidation of, or an attempt to intimidate, a complainant in a Code of Conduct investigation.

The Facts

In April 2007, the Chief Executive of Somerset County Council made a number of complaints about Councillor Buchanan's behaviour to Standards for England. Later on that year, Councillor Buchanan made a formal complaint to the council about the Chief Executive's conduct which the council decided not to investigate.

Following a further complaint from the Chief Executive about Councillor Buchanan, the council's Liberal Democrat group asked Councillor Buchanan if he would suspend himself from the group pending the outcome of all ongoing investigations, but he declined. Councillor Buchanan was notified that his membership of the Liberal Democrat group had been formally revoked on 5 December 2007.

On that same day, Councillor Buchanan wrote a letter to the Association of Local Authority Chief Executives, (ALACE) stating formal complaints about the Chief Executive and listed five headings of inappropriate and unacceptable types of behaviour that the Chief Executive had allegedly committed. And five days later, he sent a letter in identical terms to the Society of Local Authority Chief Executives (SOLACE).

On 15 December 2007 Councillor Buchanan further wrote a formal complaint to the council's monitoring officer in almost identical terms.

The Chief Executive then complained about Councillor Buchanan's motivation and intent in making the serious allegations about him in the letters. This was because Councillor Buchanan knew that Chief Executive was the complainant in an ongoing investigation.

Against these facts the Tribunal had to decide whether:

- Councillor Buchanan had brought his office or authority into disrepute
- had used his position to improperly disadvantage the Chief Executive
- had intimidated or attempted to intimidate the Chief Executive.

The respondent's case was that he had either witnessed or been told about the Chief Executive's alleged behaviour and had previously raised his concerns about the behaviour with various senior officers of the council.

The Adjudication Panel's findings

The Tribunal's findings were that Councillor Buchanan had not voiced the concerns he was now alleging and that:

- although he may have formed a belief about the seriousness of the alleged behaviour, there was no evidence to suggest that it was reasonable for him to have done so
- whatever he had seen, he did not at the time regard the alleged incidents as seriously as he was asserting at the time he wrote the letters
- he had knowingly exaggerated the facts about the Chief Executive's style and performance in order to strengthen his allegations of serious misconduct.

Counsel for the ethical standards officer (ESO) had helpfully referred the Adjudication Panel to the Shorter Oxford Dictionary definition of the word 'intimidate' as meaning terrify, overawe, cow. The dictionary suggested the word was now used especially in order to mean to force to or to deter from some act by threats of violence.

Counsel for the ESO also referred the Tribunal to R v Patresca [2004] EWCA Crim 2437, which concerned an offence under Section 51 of the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994. This proves that a person commits an offence if he or she does an act:

- (a) which intimidates and is intended to intimidate another person (the victim)
- (b) knowing or believing that the victim is assisting in the investigation of an offence or is a witness or potential witness
- (c) intending thereby to cause the investigation or the course of justice to be obstructed perverted or interfered with.

The Court of Appeal noted that the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act provided that "an intimidatory act which consists of threats may threaten financial as well as physical harm".

In the course of the judgment, May LJ confirmed that 'intimidate' and 'intimidation' are ordinary English words and endorsed the dictionary definition referred to above and stated:

"In our judgement, a person does an act which intimidates another person within section 51 (1) (a) of the 1944 Act if he puts the victim in fear. He also does it if he seeks to deter the victim from some relevant action by threat or violence. A threat unaccompanied by violence may be sufficient and the threat need not necessarily be a threat of violence. The act must be intended to intimidate. The person doing the act has to know that the

victim is a ...witness or potential witness..., He has to do the act intending thereby for the cause of justice to be obstructed, perverted or interfered with. A person may intimidate another person without the victim being intimidated...An act may amount to intimidation even though the victim is sufficiently steadfast not to be intimidated.

"In our judgement pressure to change evidence alone is insufficient, Pressure alone might be unexceptional and entirely proper at least if applied in an honest belief, for instance that what was sought was evidence which would be truthful. Alternatively pressure might be improper but lack any element of intimidation, for example a bribe. For a person to intimidate another person the pressure must put the victim in some fear, or if not there must nevertheless be an element of threat or violence such that the pressure is improper pressure."

Against this background, the Case Tribunal had no doubt that in writing the letters to ALACE and SOLACE and later to the council, Councillor Buchanan was motivated by a desire to cause harm to the Chief Executive whom he saw as responsible for the collapse of his political career.

The Case Tribunal was also in no doubt that in writing those letters, the respondent intended to cause the Chief Executive a disadvantage both in terms of his future employment with the council or more widely. Because those letters were submitted essentially as an act of revenge, the respondent did use his position improperly and had thus failed to follow the provisions of paragraph 6(a) of the council's Code of Conduct.

The Tribunal also found that even though there was no evidence that the Chief Executive was intimidated, that did not of itself mean that the allegation of a breach of paragraph 3 (c) failed. There would still be such a breach if the respondent had attempted such intimidation.

The Case Tribunal believed that for the claim to succeed it would have to accept that the letters were intended to intimidate the Chief Executive into:

- altering any evidence he was called upon to give against the Councillor; or
- not making further complaints about the Councillor.

On the facts of this particular case the Case Tribunal concluded that neither were Councillor Buchanan's intention. The evidence here was that the respondent was seeking revenge for the Chief Executive's past actions rather than seeking to intimidate him. Therefore there was no breach of paragraph 3(c) of the council's Code.

The Case Tribunal's view was that the respondent, in allowing his actions to be motivated by his desire for revenge, had shown himself to be unfit to be a councillor and local authorities should be protected from his membership.

Although the respondent had by then ceased to be a councillor, he was disqualified was two years.

You can read the Adjudication Panel's decision in this case on its [website](#).

New organisational design for SfE

During the summer, Standards for England has been making progress with an internal restructure which coincides with three new senior officers taking up their posts.

Our three new directors are Director of Risk Vivienne Horton, Director of Regulation Tim Leslie, and Director of Standards Steve Barrow.

The restructuring allows us to align our resources more closely with our role as a strategic regulator and to deliver the tasks we have set ourselves in our corporate plan. Our day-to-day Regulation activities – investigations, guidance, liaison and monitoring – fall within our new Regulation directorate.

In the new Risk directorate, Vivienne leads on our approach to assessing and managing standards risks. Within the new Standards directorate we are developing our knowledge base, our approach to strategic regulation and, of course, our own standards.

Corporate Plan and Annual Report published

Our Annual Report for 2008-09 was laid before Parliament in July. It contained a summary of our work and all of the required corporate reporting of financial arrangements.

We think you'll be more interested in our Annual Review of 2008-09 which we expect to publish in the autumn. That's a little later in the year than we've published our annual review in the past, but we wanted this year to be able to include a significant digest of the information supplied to us by authorities in our annual returns.

The document will be in two parts – a review of our work at Standards for England, and a review of the first year of the local framework based on the information you've supplied us. We'll be highlighting plenty of examples of what we consider to be notable practice, and setting out some of the issues we wish to tackle as regulator, based on what you've said.

Copies will be distributed to all authorities and we'll publish online too.

In the early part of this year, we've been operating to a draft corporate plan pending sign off by the responsible minister in our sponsor department, Communities and Local Government. The plan was signed off earlier in the summer and we have now published our corporate plan under the title of The Changing Role of the Standards Board for England.

Copies have been sent to monitoring officers and it is also available to download [here](#).

Review of online monitoring system - an update

The majority of monitoring officers believe that our Quarterly Returns and Annual Returns are working effectively, according to our research.

During the summer, our research team conducted the final part of its review of Standards for England's online monitoring system. This forms part of a programme of work to assess how well the system is working, and was the final part of a review project that started in June 2008.

For this part of the research, the team distributed surveys to a random sample of monitoring officers and officers who are nominated to make an online submission. Some 50 surveys were sent to assess satisfaction levels with the quarterly return, and another 50 for the annual return (this was the first time this return had been used by stakeholders). We had a good response to our survey with about half the questionnaires being returned. We would like to thank all those who participated in the survey.

The survey's results show that the majority of monitoring officers/nominated staff surveyed continue to agree that the quarterly return is working effectively, with respondents encountering minimal or no difficulty in submitting their return. There were plenty of suggestions from respondents on how to further develop the form now that the quarterly return has been operational for over a year.

The annual return survey also showed that stakeholders are pleased with how the annual return form worked during its first run. There were lots of suggestions from respondents on how the form can be enhanced in the future, with certain sections of the form being considered more relevant than others. These suggestions have been passed on to our annual return development team, and will be incorporated into the design of next year's form.

If you have any questions about this review or future reviews of the system, please contact Tom Bandenburg, Research Assistant: 0161 817 5427 or email: tom.bandenburg@standardsforengland.gov.uk.

That's a wrap!

Editing is now underway for our new training DVD on Local Assessment following a successful shoot last month. Viewers will follow the work of Jack Ridley and his fellow assessment sub-committee members as they look at a variety of complaints about councillors covered by their standards committee.

The film is designed to help standards committees and officers who are involved in the assessment of complaints that a member may have breached the Code of Conduct. It will take viewers through the main stages of local assessment, exploring important or contentious issues along the way.

Learning points are interspersed with the drama. Standard DVD extras including scene selection and subtitles will also be available.

Copies of the DVD will be sent to all monitoring officers in October, and we look forward to hearing your thoughts.

Annual Assembly 2009: Bringing standards into focus

There are just a handful of places left for the 2009 Annual Assembly, 'Bringing standards into focus', at the ICC, Birmingham, on 12-13 October 2009.

This year, we've responded to your call for more sessions focused on good practice, and the programme is full of opportunities for you to share the lessons you've learnt about the local standards framework. A great range of speakers are now on board, including standards committee members and officers from authorities across the country, as well as all those shortlisted for the 2009 LGC Standards and Ethics award. Full details of the programme, including confirmed speakers, is available [here](#).

Solicitors attending the Assembly can earn 10.25 bonus credits towards their continuing professional development, as the event is certified to count towards SRA's CPD scheme.

Breakout sessions are filling up fast and if you have secured your place at the conference you are urged to choose your sessions and return your preference form as soon as possible to avoid disappointment.

Changes at the Adjudication Panel for England

In Bulletin [issue 42](#) we wrote about the Adjudication Panel for England's integration into the new unified tribunals' structure.

The Adjudication Panel's work is due to transfer into the new General Regulatory Chamber (GRC) within the First-tier Tribunal in January 2010, subject to Parliamentary approval. The GRC is a new chamber that will bring together individual tribunals that hear appeals on regulatory issues.

From January 2010, proceedings which would previously have been before the Panel's tribunals, and decisions previously made by the President of the Adjudication Panel, will be undertaken in the GRC of the First-tier Tribunal. Appeals from the GRC will be to the Administrative Appeals Chamber of the Upper Tribunal.

These changes are part of a programme of tribunal reform that began with the establishment of the First-tier and Upper Tribunals in November last year. This put in place a new flexible structure where services can be built that are increasingly responsive to the needs of users.

The independent status of the judicial office holders who consider the references and appeals that come to the Adjudication Panel is not affected by the transfer into the unified structure. Tribunal users will continue to receive a specialist service following the changes, as members of the Adjudication Panel will move into the new First-tier Tribunal. They will continue to deal with the references and appeals on matters arising from the operation of the Code.

You can find out more about the merger [here](#).

All postal correspondence, including standards committee referrals and subject member appeals should now be sent to the Adjudication Panel's new address:

Adjudication Panel for England
Tribunal Service
York House
31-36 York Place
Leeds
West Yorkshire
LS1 2ED

Forthcoming events

Standards for England has a packed event calendar for the next few months.

You can visit us on our stands at the following events:

NALC Annual Conference

4-5 September
Royal College of Physicians, London
Stand 4 in the Dorchester Library

Liberal Democrat party conference

19 -23 September 2009
Bournemouth ICC
Stand 36 in the Solent Hall

Labour party conference

27 September - 1 October 2009
Brighton Centre
Stand 92 in the Hewison Hall

Conservative party conference

5 -8 October 2009
Manchester Central
Stand 106

Solace Annual Conference

20 - 22 October
Brighton Centre

Society of Local Council Clerks National Conference

23-25 October

De Vere Hotel, Daventry
Stand 34

AcSeS Annual Conference
18-19 November
The Armouries, Leeds

SfE continues to support LGC award

We are pleased to announce our continued support for the **Standards and Ethics** category at the **2010 LGC Awards**, following the success of last year's award.

The quality of last year's entries showed that many local authorities are strongly committed to promoting high standards of member conduct, and see the vital connection between standards, public trust and success. Good practice ideas from last year's winners are available on our [website](#).

This year, we want to know more about how authority standards committees, members and officers are working together to champion ethical standards and make a positive difference to public trust.

Entries should demonstrate how high standards of conduct are central to the authority's culture and governance. You can enter online at www.lgcawards.co.uk, where you can also find further information on the LGC Awards. The closing date for entries is **13 November 2009**.

If you would like further information on the award, you can also contact Clare Sydney, Standards for England Communications and Events Manager, on 0161 817 5332.

NALC's Local Council Awards 2009

NALC's Local Council Awards 2009 NALC has re-launched its Local Council Awards. NALC is looking for good practice from councils regardless of size or location. This year's NALC Local Council Awards will be in the categories of:

- Council of the Year sponsored by AON
- Clerk of the Year sponsored by AON
- Councillor of the Year sponsored by the Commission for Rural Communities (CRC)
- Council Worker of the Year sponsored by The Co-operative Bank
- Much Improved Council of the Year sponsored by Standards for England

The closing date for applications is 30 November 2009.

For further information about the awards criteria and application details please visit the NALC website or the website of [NALC's](#) flagship publication, [LCR](#).

Updating authority websites

If your authority's website contains contact information for us, please make sure that it is up-to-date.

You are welcome to use our logo as a link to our website. If you would like to do so, please contact Trish Ritchie on 0161 817 5406 or trish.ritchie@standardsforengland.gov.uk who will send one to you.

Here are our current contact details

Address:

Standards for England

Fourth Floor

Griffin House

40 Lever Street

Manchester

M1 1BB

Website: www.standardsforengland.gov.uk

Email: enquiries@standardsforengland.gov.uk

Enquiries line: 0845 078 8181